To: Nelson, Britta[bknelson@blm.gov]

Cc: Timothy Fisher[tjfisher@blm.gov]; Peter Mali[pmali@blm.gov]; Sally Butts[sbutts@blm.gov]

From: Mark Conley

**Sent:** 2017-02-18T19:02:08-05:00

Importance: Normal

Subject: Re: Check in on review of GSENM Grazing DRMP-A

**Received:** 2017-02-18T19:02:14-05:00

Hi Britta -

Yesterday, I returned to Sacramento and did not comment on the GSRNM Grazing DRMP.

Thank you for your help and assistance during my detail at the WO.

Mark

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 17, 2017, at 4:50 PM, Nelson, Britta <br/> <br/> knelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mark and Tim, just a quick check to see if you have comments on the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS. The planning shop wanted them by today so please let me know when they will be ready. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst National Conservation Lands (WO-410) Bureau of Land Management 303.236.0539

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Conley, Mark < mconley@blm.gov > Date: Mon, Jan 30, 2017 at 12:36 PM

Subject: Re: review of GSENM Grazing DRMP-A To: "Hamedani, Fariba" <fhamedani@blm.gov>

Cc: "Nelson, Britta" < <a href="mailto:bknelson@blm.gov">bknelson@blm.gov">bknelson@blm.gov</a>>, Timothy Fisher < <a href="mailto:tjfisher@blm.gov">tjfisher@blm.gov</a>>, "Anne (Annie) Ebbers" < <a href="mailto:aebbers@blm.gov">aebbers@blm.gov</a>>, Sally Butts < <a href="mailto:sbutts@blm.gov">sbutts@blm.gov</a>>, Peter Mali < <a href="mailto:pmailto:pmailto:pmailto:blm.gov">pmailto:pm

Hi Fariba -

I plan to be on the call tomorrow and participate on the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS review. Mark

On Mon, Jan 30, 2017 at 12:40 PM, Hamedani, Fariba <fhamedani@blm.gov> wrote:

Thank you for your clarification Britta. And Mark, please let me know if you have any questions during your review.

Have a great week,

Fariba

Fariba Hamedani Planning & Environmental Analyst Division of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA (WO-210) Bureau of Land Management PH (202) 912-7047 FAX (202) 245-0028

On Mon, Jan 30, 2017 at 11:46 AM, Nelson, Britta < bknelson@blm.gov > wrote:

Hi Fariba, per your last note to Tim re: the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS review, it is my understanding that Tim will report in early March and won't start NM/NCA Lead duties until then. Mark Conley has been the acting NM/NCA Lead and will be participating in the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS review.

Sally and Peter, please let me know if I am understanding this incorrectly.

#### Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst National Conservation Lands (WO-410) Bureau of Land Management 303.236.0539

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Nelson, Britta** <br/> <br/> bknelson@blm.gov>

Date: Fri. Jan 6, 2017 at 3:03 PM

Subject: GSENM Grazing DRMP-A and WO Review Kick-Off Briefing

To: Robert Wick < <a href="mailto:rwick@blm.gov">rwick@blm.gov">rwick@blm.gov">rwick@blm.gov</a>, Peter Mali < <a href="mailto:pmailto

Cc: Nikki Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>, Sally Butts <sbutts@blm.gov>

N

ational Conservation Lands, a WO admin review of the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 31. After receiving the review files from WO210 I will share them along with a WO410 comment form on google drive. WO410 commented previously on the range of alternatives. The p

lanning area is a National Monument that contains wilderness characteristics, NSHT, WSR, and WSA.

Allison and Rob, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the GSENM DRMP-A/DEIS to help guide WO410's review?

### <u>General</u>

- Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in in the RMP alternatives? If not, is there a stand-alone plan for that unit?
- Are mitigation standards identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
- Are appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the RMP that limit or exclude land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?
- Has the State Director been briefed on RMP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (pre-designation) Wild and Scenic River segments, and trails under study or recommended as suitable for designation?

# National Monuments/National Conservation Areas and Similar Designations

- If this is a combined RMP, is there a unique set of decisions specific to the NM/NCA?
- Does the RMP identify the resources, objects, and values (ROV) for which the NM/NCA was designated, and how those ROVs will be managed?
- Are all land use planning decisions in the RMP consistent with the purposes and objectives of the designating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the NM/NCA?
- Are new utility corridors or ROWs in the NM/NCA identified as exclusion or avoidance areas?

#### Lands with wilderness characteristics

- Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
- Is an inventory report included as an Appendix?
- Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
- Is rationale included for decisions to (1) emphasize other multiple uses; (2) emphasize other multiple uses

while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics; and/or (3) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?

- Is a table included that summarizes acres inventoried; acres found to have wilderness characteristics; acres and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 6320, which include:
- 1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as a priority over other uses, 2) emphasizing other uses while applying management prescriptions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and 3) emphasizing other multiple uses as a priority over protecting wilderness characteristics; and rationale for "how emphasizing other uses while applying management prescriptions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics" will occur and wilderness character will be maintained?

## Eligible and Suitable WSR

- Did the FO complete WSR eligibility inventories consistent with current policy requirements (MS 6400)?
- Did the FO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from M6400?
- Are suitable/nonsuitable decisions made for all WSReligible streams in the planning area?
- Is the WSR Study Report included as an Appendix and is it consistent with current policy?
- For segments determined not suitable, do other resource allocations protect the river values; and/or is rationale provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the RMP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

### National Scenic and Historic Trails

- Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?
- Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?


## Other RMP Issues

• Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreement) or background (e.g.,

anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?

• Summarize how the unique issues or background affect the alternatives.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks. Britta



- c1bailey@blm.gov
- gfogle@blm.gov
- epionke@blm.gov
- john.steiger@sol.doi.gov
- hbernier@blm.gov
- jhmccart@blm.gov
- pbrooks@blm.gov
- dballeng@blm.gov
- bnovosak@blm.gov
- vvogt@blm.gov
- joshua.hanson@sol.doi.gov
- ssieber@blm.gov
- rhathaway@blm.gov
- mbmiller@blm.gov
- hhallett@blm.gov
- lcardena@blm.gov
- ajossie@blm.gov
- wsalvers@blm.gov
- dmorgan@blm.gov
- ktripp@blm.gov
- bloosle@blm.gov
- cstaszak@blm.gov
- gdamone@blm.gov
- rperrin@blm.gov
- astrasfo@blm.gov
- bwilhigh@blm.gov
- rbouts@blm.gov
- rmayberr@blm.gov
- . BLM\_WO\_RMP\_REVIEW\_TEAM
- mlundell@blm.gov

- pdewitt@blm.gov
- mbetenso@blm.gov
- uhong@blm.gov
- khackett@blm.gov
- rwinthro@blm.gov
- atenney@blm.gov
- tspisak@blm.gov
- eroberso@blm.gov
- s1wells@blm.gov
- rmoore@blm.gov
- atkach@blm.gov
- pjarnecke@blm.gov
- Ipjackso@blm.gov
- debsalt@blm.gov
- icohen@blm.gov
- sgrange@blm.gov
- ssmall@blm.gov
- croegner@blm.gov
- abass@blm.gov
- aginn@blm.gov
- j35lewis@blm.gov
- mbechdol@blm.gov
- epalus@blm.gov
- gsmale@blm.gov
- talexander@blm.gov
- lbaker@blm.gov
- aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov
- ahughes@blm.gov
- mleveret@blm.gov
- gramos@blm.gov

- namorris@blm.gov
- kswartz@blm.gov
- mhildner@blm.gov
- pmali@blm.gov
- mconley@blm.gov
- dbuhler@blm.gov
- polwell@blm.gov
- jboeck@blm.gov
- j06lopez@blm.gov
- bknelson@blm.gov
- jjcordov@blm.gov
- dmlentz@blm.gov
- sfusilie@blm.gov
- mulloabustos@blm.gov
- rhawks@blm.gov
- pmmahone@blm.gov
- jtague@blm.gov
- jsimonsen@blm.gov
- d1jeppes@blm.gov
- hzarin@blm.gov
- fquamen@blm.gov
- dhu@blm.gov

Going? Yes - Maybe - No more options »

Invitation from Google Calendar

You are receiving this email at the account <a href="mailto:bknelson@blm.gov">bknelson@blm.gov</a> because you are subscribed for invitations on calendar <a href="mailto:bknelson@blm.gov">bknelson@blm.gov</a>.

To stop receiving these emails, please log in to <a href="https://www.google.com/calendar/">https://www.google.com/calendar/</a> and change your notification settings for this calendar.

Forwarding this invitation could allow any recipient to modify your RSVP response. Learn More.

\_\_

Mark Conley, Acting *Program Lead*National Monuments, National Conservation Area, and Other Special Designations
BLM Washington Office
(202) 912 7172 office
(916) 281 7262 cell